## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JOHNNY LACY, JR.,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 11-CV-1084

CHARLES COLE, et al.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

The plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a complaint in the above captioned action. The plaintiff has also filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA), the plaintiff is required to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 in full for this action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

Under the PLRA, which amended the *in forma pauperis* statute, the court must assess an initial partial filing fee of twenty percent of the average monthly deposits to the plaintiff's account or average monthly balance in the plaintiff's prison account for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint, whichever is greater. *Id.* After the initial fee is paid, the prisoner must make monthly payments of twenty percent of the preceding month's income until the filing fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). "The agency having custody of the prisoner shall forward payments from the prisoner's account to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in the account exceeds \$10 until the filing fees are paid." *Id.* 

The plaintiff filed a certified copy of his prisoner trust account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

A review of this information reveals that, for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint, the average monthly balance in the account was zero. Thus, the plaintiff has neither assets nor means to pay the initial partial filing fee.

A prisoner will not be prohibited from bringing a civil action for the reason that he lacks the assets and means to pay an initial partial filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4). Therefore, the plaintiff will be granted a waiver of payment of the initial partial filing fee in this case. However, he is still obligated to pay the full filing fee pursuant to the statutory formula set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

The PLRA also provides that if a prisoner files more than three actions or appeals which are dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, the prisoner will be prohibited from bringing any other actions *in forma pauperis* unless the prisoner is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In the event that this action is later dismissed for any of the above reasons, it will have an impact on the prisoner's ability to bring other actions *in forma pauperis*. Accordingly, the plaintiff will be afforded an opportunity to voluntarily dismiss this action to avoid incurring a "strike" under section 1915(g).

Notice to Plaintiff: If you do not wish to pay the filing fee as set forth in this order or do not wish to proceed with this action to avoid incurring a "strike" under §1915(g), you must notify the court by filing a letter with the Clerk of Court on or before **Tuesday**, **January 3**, **2012**, stating that you do not wish to prosecute this civil action. If you write such a letter, this case will be dismissed without prejudice. Voluntary dismissal will not be counted as a "strike" under §1915(g).

**THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4), the plaintiff will not be required to pay an initial partial filing fee.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if he wishes to voluntarily dismiss this action to avoid

the possibility of incurring a strike under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), the plaintiff shall so notify the court

on or before Tuesday, January 3, 2012.

Upon expiration of the specified time, the court will determine whether the action can

continue in forma pauperis. The court will review the complaint to determine that the action is not

frivolous or malicious and that the complaint states a claim upon which relief can be granted. If the

complaint does not meet this standard, the action will be dismissed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be sent to the warden of the

institution where the inmate is confined.

Dated this 12th day of December, 2011.

s/ William C. Griesbach

William C. Griesbach

United States District Judge

3